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The objective of this commentary is to determine several parameters of the shooting down of slow flying objects. ${ }^{1}$ If we have some basic information regarding the motion of the drone/helicopter and we also know the velocity with which the bullet will be discharged, then we may evaluate the angle of projection $(\alpha)$ so that the bullet will shoot down the drone/helicopter even if it has manoeuvring effect due to acceleration or retardation. Obviously, the conclusions of the commentary will also hold if any other objects in the air replace the drone/helicopter. The reason that we have specifically concentrated on the drone/helicopter is because it is practically possible for a bullet to strike it. In the case of fighter jets, which usually move at great speed, further incentive momentum would be required during the motion to enable a striking projectile to make contact with them in the available time. This is possible for a guided missile, but not a bullet.

Suppose a bullet is fired with some force so that at the time of discharge its initial speed $u$ follows a direction that makes angle with the horizontal axis. Now, the bullet will strike a drone/helicopter in air if two empirical conditions are satisfied:

[^0]
(a) The horizontal location of the flying object must be coincident with the horizontal location of the shell at the same time $(t)$.
(b) The vertical location (height) of the flying object must be coincident with the vertical distance travelled by the shell at the same time $(t)$.
Keeping in view these two empirical conditions, we will formulate the master equations for shell trajectory to hit flying objects such as drones/helicopters in the next section. The trajectory of the bullet is a parabola having the equation ${ }^{2}$
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=x \tan \alpha-\frac{\left(g x^{2}\right)}{\left(2 u^{2} \cos ^{2} \alpha\right)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

The commentary is planned as follows: The next section consists of the mathematical formation of the master equation with analysis of various parameters while the drone/helicopter is moving away from the observer. In the subsequent section, with a study of several parameters, we derive the master equation while the drone/helicopter is moving towards the observer. The last two sections cover conclusion remarks and provide the summary of the commentary.

## Formulation of the Master Equation While the Drone/ Helicopter is Moving Away from the Observer with Acceleration

Let $O$ be any point of projection of the shell and $A$ be the position of the drone/helicopter when the shot was fired. The muzzle velocity (initial velocity) of the shell is along OD, let the angle of projection be $\alpha$. The


Figure I The Drone/Helicopter is Moving Away from the Observer with Acceleration $f$ Source: Authors' own.
drone/helicopter is flying at a height of $h$ meters along the line AF with an initial observed speed $\mathbf{v}_{0} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ and acceleration $\mathrm{f} \mathbf{m} / \mathbf{s}^{2} . C^{3}$ is a point where the shell can hit the drone/ helicopter, that is, provided both reach C simultaneously (Figure 1). ${ }^{4}$ The starting point of the shell is O, and at the same time the position of the flying object is A such that $\mathrm{BA}=d$.

Let the shell hit the drone/helicopter after time $t$.
The distance moved by the drone in time $t$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{AC}=v_{0} \cdot t+1 / 2 f t^{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Horizontal distance moved by the shell after time $t$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{BC}=u \cos \alpha \cdot t \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of Equations (2) and (3), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{BC}=d+\mathrm{AC} \Rightarrow u \cos \alpha \cdot t=d+\left(v_{0} \cdot t+1 / 2 f t^{2}\right) \\
& 1 / 2 f f^{2}+\left(v_{0}-u \cos \alpha\right) \cdot t+d=0 \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

and yields the condition

$$
\begin{align*}
t & =\frac{\left(u \cos \alpha-v_{0}\right)-\sqrt{\left(u \cos \alpha-v_{0}\right)^{2}-2 f d}}{f} \text { if } f \neq 0  \tag{5}\\
& =\frac{d}{\left(u \cos \alpha-v_{0}\right)} \text { if } f=0 \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Vertical motion of the shell from O to C is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=u \sin \alpha \cdot t-1 / 2 g t^{2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Equations (5), (6) and (7), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& 4.905\left(\frac{\left(u \cos \alpha-v_{0}\right)-\sqrt{\left(u \cos \alpha-v_{0}\right)^{2}-2 f d}}{f}\right)^{2} \\
& -\frac{\left(u \cos \alpha-v_{0}\right)-\sqrt{\left(u \cos \alpha-v_{0}\right)^{2}-2 f d}}{f} u \sin \alpha+h=0 \\
& \text { if } f \neq 0  \tag{8}\\
& 4.905\left(\frac{d}{\left(u \cos \alpha-v_{0}\right)}\right)^{2}-\frac{d u \sin \alpha}{\left(u \cos \alpha-v_{0}\right)}+h=0 \text { if } f=0 \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

The angle of projection can be obtained by using Equations (8) and (9).

## Evaluation of Various Requisite Parameters

We calculated various parameters such as the angle of projection, time of hit and distance covered by the drone/helicopter at the time of hit by considering the speed of drone as $20 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$, speed of bullet as $900 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ and detected that the drone/helicopter is flying at a height of 500 m , horizontal distance of 800 m and that it is moving away from the observer
through the master equations developed by us (see Tables 1, 2 and Figures 2 and 3). Through the master equations, we also estimated the same parameters for various values of height ( $h=900 \mathrm{~m}$ to 1500 m ) of a drone and a helicopter for $f=0.5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$ and $f=2.5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$ respectively (Tables 3,4 and Figures 4 and 5) as well as established when the flying objects are moving away from the observer. The trends of time taken to hit the drone/helicopter ( $T$ ), angle of projection ( $\alpha$ ) and distance travelled by the drone/helicopter during the time of hit (AC) can be seen in Figures $2,3,4$, and 5 .


Figure 2 Variation of $\alpha$, T, AC with $f$ while the Drone is Moving Away from the Observer when $h$ and $d$ are Fixed Source: Authors' own.

Table I Values of the Angle of Projection, Time of Hit and Distance Covered by the Drone Moving Away from the Observer during the Time of Hit when $h$ and $d$ are Fixed

| S. | Speed of <br> Drone <br> $m / s\left(v_{0}\right)$ | Acceleration/ <br> Retardation <br> $f m / s^{2}$ | Muzzle <br> Velocity of <br> Weapon <br> $m / s u$ | Horizontal <br> Distance in <br> Metre <br> $d$ | Height <br> of <br> Drone <br> $h$ | Time Taken <br> to Hit the <br> Drone <br> $T$ | Angle of <br> Projection <br> in Degree | Distance <br> Travelled by <br> the Drone <br> during Time <br> of Hit (AC) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 20 | -1.5 | 900 | 800 | 500 | 1.07093 | 31.6412 | 20.5583 |
| 2 | 20 | -1 | 900 | 800 | 500 | 1.0712 | 31.6323 | 20.8503 |
| 3 | 20 | -0.5 | 900 | 800 | 500 | 1.07148 | 31.6233 | 21.1426 |
| 4 | 20 | 0 | 900 | 800 | 500 | 1.07176 | 31.6144 | 21.4352 |
| 5 | 20 | 0.5 | 900 | 800 | 500 | 1.07204 | 31.6054 | 21.7281 |
| 6 | 20 | 1 | 900 | 800 | 500 | 1.07232 | 31.5964 | 22.0213 |
| 7 | 20 | 1.5 | 900 | 800 | 500 | 1.0726 | 31.5874 | 22.3148 |

[^1]

Figure 3 Variation of $\alpha$, T, AC with $f$ While the Helicopter is Moving Away from the Observer When $h$ and $d$ are Fixed Source: Authors' own.

Table 2 Values of the Angle of Projection, Time of Hit and Distance Covered by the Helicopter Moving Away from the Observer during the Time of Hit

When $h$ and $d$ are Fixed

| S. | Speed of <br> Helicopter <br> $m / s\left(v_{0}\right)$ | Acceleration/ <br> Retardation <br> $f m / s^{2}$ | Muzzle <br> Velocity of <br> Weapon <br> $m / s u$ | Horizontal <br> Distance in <br> Metre | Height of <br> Helicopter <br> $h$ | Time <br> Taken to <br> Hit the <br> Helicopter <br> $T$ | Angle of <br> Projection <br> in Degree | Distance <br> Travelled <br> by the <br> Helicopter <br> during Time <br> of Hit (AC) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 70 | -2 | 900 | 800 | 500 | 1.12563 | 29.9792 | 77.5272 |
| 2 | 70 | -1 | 900 | 800 | 500 | 1.12629 | 29.9603 | 78.2059 |
| 3 | 70 | 0 | 900 | 800 | 500 | 1.12695 | 29.9415 | 78.8864 |
| 4 | 70 | 1 | 900 | 800 | 500 | 1.12761 | 29.9226 | 79.5683 |
| 5 | 70 | 2 | 900 | 800 | 500 | 1.12827 | 29.9038 | 80.252 |

Source: Authors' own.


Figure 4 Variation of $\alpha$, T, AC with $h$ While the Drone is Moving Away from the Observer When $f$ and $d$ are Fixed

Source: Authors' own.

Table 3 Values of the Angle of Projection, Time of Hit and Distance Covered by the Drone Moving Away from the Observer during the Time of Hit When d and $f$ are Fixed

| S. | Speed of <br> Drone <br> $m / s\left(v_{o}\right)$ | Acceleration/ <br> Retardation <br> $f m / s^{2}$ | Muzzle <br> Velocity of <br> Weapon <br> $m / s u$ | Horizontal <br> Distance in <br> Metre <br> $d$ | Height <br> of <br> Drone <br> $h$ | Time Taken <br> to Hit the <br> Drone <br> $T$ | Angle of <br> Projection <br> in Degree | Distance <br> Travelled by <br> the Drone <br> during Time <br> of Hit (AC) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 20 | 0.5 | 900 | 1500 | 900 | 1.99374 | 30.8262 | 40.8686 |
| 2 | 20 | 0.5 | 900 | 1500 | 1000 | 2.05483 | 33.4995 | 42.1522 |
| 3 | 20 | 0.5 | 900 | 1500 | 1100 | 2.1203 | 36.0148 | 43.5299 |
| 4 | 20 | 0.5 | 900 | 1500 | 1200 | 2.18977 | 38.3766 | 44.9941 |
| 5 | 20 | 0.5 | 900 | 1500 | 1300 | 2.26288 | 40.5909 | 46.5378 |
| 6 | 20 | 0.5 | 900 | 1500 | 1400 | 2.33932 | 42.66491 | 48.1545 |
| 7 | 20 | 0.5 | 900 | 1500 | 1500 | 2.41878 | 44.6065 | 49.8383 |

Source: Authors' own.


Figure 5 Variation of $\alpha$, T, AC with $h$ While the Helicopter is Moving Away from the Observer When $f$ and $d$ are Fixed Source: Authors' own.

Table 4 Values of the Angle of Projection, Time of Hit and Distance Covered by the Helicopter Moving Away from the Observer during the Collision When $f$ and $d$ are Fixed

| S. | Speed of <br> Helicopter <br> $m / s\left(v_{0}\right)$ | Acceleration/ <br> Retardation <br> $f m^{2} s^{2}$ | Muzzle <br> Velocity of <br> Weapon <br> $m / s u$ | Horizontal <br> Distance in <br> Metre <br> $d$ | Height of <br> Helicopter <br> $h$ | Time <br> Taken to <br> Hit the <br> Helicopter <br> $T$ | Angle of <br> Projection <br> in Degree | Distance <br> Travelled <br> by the <br> Helicopter <br> during Time <br> of Hit (AC) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 70 | 2.5 | 900 | 1500 | 900 | 2.1026 | 29.1476 | 152.708 |
| 2 | 70 | 2.5 | 900 | 1500 | 1000 | 2.16402 | 31.6845 | 157.335 |
| 3 | 70 | 2.5 | 900 | 1500 | 1100 | 2.22985 | 34.0746 | 162.305 |
| 4 | 70 | 2.5 | 900 | 1500 | 1200 | 2.2997 | 36.3217 | 167.59 |
| 5 | 70 | 2.5 | 900 | 1500 | 1300 | 2.37322 | 38.4313 | 173.166 |
| 6 | 70 | 2.5 | 900 | 1500 | 1400 | 2.45009 | 40.4098 | 179.01 |
| 7 | 70 | 2.5 | 900 | 1500 | 1500 | 2.53 | 42.2641 | 185.101 |

Source: Authors' own.

Formulation of the Master Equation While the Drone/ Helicopter is Moving Towards the Observer with Acceleration

Let $O$ be any point of projection of the shell, $A$ be the position of the drone/helicopter when the shot was fired. The muzzle velocity (initial velocity) of the shell is along OD. Let the angle of projection be $\alpha$. The drone/helicopter is flying at height $h$ metres along the line AB with an initial observed speed $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{0}} \mathbf{m} / \mathbf{s}$ and acceleration $\mathbf{f} \mathbf{m} / \mathbf{s}^{2}$. C is a point where the shell can hit the drone/helicopter, that is, provided both reach C at the same time (Figure 6).

The starting point of the shell is O and at the same time the position of the flying object is at A such that $\mathrm{AB}=d$. Let the shell hit the drone/ helicopter after time $t$.


Figure 6 The Drone/Helicopter is Moving Towards the Observer with Acceleration $f$ Source: Authors' own.
The distance moved by the drone/helicopter in time $t$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{AC}=v_{0} \cdot t+1 / 2 f t^{2} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Horizontal distance moved by shell after time $t$ is given by $\mathrm{BC}=u \cos \alpha \cdot t$
In view of Equations (10) and (11), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{BC}=d-\mathrm{AC} \Rightarrow u \cos \alpha \cdot t=d-\left(v_{0} \cdot t+1 / 2 f t^{2}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and yields the following condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 / 2 f t^{2}+\left(u \cos \alpha+v_{0}\right) \cdot t-d=0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (12) yields the expression of $t$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
t & =\frac{-\left(u \cos \alpha+v_{0}\right)+\sqrt{\left(u \cos \alpha+v_{0}\right)^{2}+2 f d}}{f} \text { if } f \neq 0  \tag{13}\\
& =\frac{d}{u \cos \alpha+v_{0}}, f=0 \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

Vertical motion of shell from O to C is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=u \sin \alpha \cdot t-1 / 2 g t^{2} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the Equations (13), (14) and (15), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\Rightarrow 4.905 & \left(\frac{-\left(u \cos \alpha+v_{0}\right)+\sqrt{\left(u \cos \alpha+v_{0}\right)^{2}+2 f d}}{f}\right)^{2} \\
& -\frac{-\left(u \cos \alpha+v_{0}\right)+\sqrt{\left(u \cos \alpha+v_{0}\right)^{2}+2 f d}}{f} u \sin \alpha+h=0 \\
& \text { if } f \neq 0 \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
4.905\left(\frac{d}{\left(u \cos \alpha-v_{0}\right)}\right)^{2}-\frac{d u \sin \alpha}{\left(u \cos \alpha-v_{0}\right)}+h=0, \text { if } f=0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The angle of projection can be obtained by using the Equations (16) and (17).

## Evaluation of Various Requisite Parameters

We calculated various parameters such as the angle of projection, time of hit and distance covered by the drone/helicopter at the time of collision by considering the drone speed as $20 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$, helicopter speed as $70 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$, speed of bullet as $900 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ and detected that the drone and helicopter are flying at height $h(=500 \mathrm{~m})$, horizontal distance $d(=800 \mathrm{~m})$ for various values of $f$ (Tables 5, 6 and Figures 7 and 8). Through the master equations, we also estimated the same parameters for various values of


Figure 7 Variation of $\alpha, \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{AC}$ with $f$ While the Drone is Moving Towards the Observer When $h$ and $d$ are Fixed Source: Authors' own.
height ( $h=900 \mathrm{~m}$ to 1500 m ) of drone for $f=0.5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$ and helicopter for $f$ $=2.5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$ (Tables 7, 8 and Figures 9 and 10) when the flying objects are moving towards the observer. The trends of time taken to hit the drone/ helicopter ( $T$ ), angle of projection $\alpha$ and distance travelled by the drone/ helicopter during the time of hit (AC) can be seen in Figures 7, 8, 9, and 10.

Table 5 The Values of Angle of Projection, Time of Hit and Distance Covered by the Drone Moving Towards the Observer during the Time of Hit When $h$ and $d$ are Fixed

| $\begin{gathered} S . \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Speed of <br> Drone $m / s\left(v_{o}\right)$ | Acceleration/ <br> Retardation $\mathrm{fm} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$ | Muzzle <br> Velocity of <br> Weapon <br> $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{su}$ | Horizontal <br> Distance in Metre d | Height of Drone h | Time Taken to Hit the Drone T | Angle of <br> Projection <br> in Degree | Distance Travelled by the Drone during Time of Hit (AC) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 20 | -1.5 | 900 | 800 | 500 | 1.03273 | 32.9276 | 19.8546 |
| 2 | 20 | -1 | 900 | 800 | 500 | 1.03248 | 32.9362 | 20.1166 |
| 3 | 20 | -0.5 | 900 | 800 | 500 | 1.03224 | 32.9449 | 20.3783 |
| 4 | 20 | 0 | 900 | 800 | 500 | 1.03199 | 32.9535 | 20.6398 |
| 5 | 20 | 0.5 | 900 | 800 | 500 | 1.03175 | 32.9622 | 20.901 |
| 6 | 20 | 1 | 900 | 800 | 500 | 1.0315 | 32.9708 | 21.162 |
| 7 | 20 | 1.5 | 900 | 800 | 500 | 1.03126 | 32.9794 | 21.4227 |

Source: Authors' own.


Figure 8 Variation of $\alpha, T$, AC with $f$ while the helicopter is moving towards the observer when $h$ and $d$ are fixed.

Source: Authors' own.

Table 6 Values of the Angle of Projection, Time of Hit and Distance Covered by the Helicopter Moving Towards the Observer during the Time of Collision When $h$ and $d$ are Fixed

| S. | Speed of <br> Helicopter <br> $m / s\left(v_{0}\right)$ | Acceleration/ <br> Retardation <br> fm/s | Muzzle <br> Velocity of <br> Weapon <br> $m / s u$ | Horizontal <br> Distance in <br> Metre <br> $d$ | Height of <br> Helicopter <br> $h$ | Time <br> Taken to <br> Hit the <br> Helicopter <br> $T$ | Angle of <br> Projection <br> in Degree | Distance <br> Travelled <br> by the <br> Helicopter <br> during Time <br> of Hit (AC) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 70 | -2.0 | 900 | 800 | 500 | 0.987816 | 34.5964 | 68.1713 |
| 2 | 70 | -1 | 900 | 800 | 500 | 0.987394 | 34.6129 | 68.6301 |
| 3 | 70 | 0 | 900 | 800 | 500 | 0.986973 | 34.6295 | 69.0881 |
| 4 | 70 | 1 | 900 | 800 | 500 | 0.986552 | 34.6461 | 69.5453 |
| 5 | 70 | 2 | 900 | 800 | 500 | 0.986132 | 34.6626 | 70.0017 |

Source: Authors' own.


Figure 9 Variation of, $T$, AC with $h$ while the drone is moving towards the observer when $f$ and $d$ are fixed.

Source: Authors' own.
Table 7 Values of Angle of Projection, Time of Hit and Distance Covered by the Drone Moving Towards the Observer during the Time of Collision When $f$ and $d$ are Fixed

| S. | Speed of <br> Drone <br> $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}\left(v_{o}\right)$ | Acceleration/ <br> Retardation <br> $\mathrm{fm} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$ | Muzzle <br> Velocity of <br> Weapon <br> $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{su}$ | Horizontal <br> Distance in <br> Metre <br> $d$ | Height <br> of | Time Taken <br> to Hit the <br> Drone <br> $h$ | Angle of <br> Trojection <br> in Degree | Distance <br> Travelled by <br> the Drone <br> during Time <br> of Hit (AC) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 20 | 0.5 | 900 | 1500 | 900 | 1.91696 | 32.1479 | 39.2579 |
| 2 | 20 | 0.5 | 900 | 1500 | 1000 | 1.9779 | 34.9278 | 40.536 |
| 3 | 20 | 0.5 | 900 | 1500 | 1100 | 2.04321 | 37.5406 | 41.9078 |
| 4 | 20 | 0.5 | 900 | 1500 | 1200 | 2.11251 | 39.9914 | 43.3659 |


| S. | Speed of <br> Drone <br> No. | Acceleration/ <br> Retardation <br> $f m / v_{0}$ | Muzzle <br> Velocity of <br> Weapon <br> $m / s u$ | Horizontal <br> Distance in <br> Metre <br> $d$ | Height <br> of <br> Drone <br> $h$ | Time Taken <br> to Hit the <br> Drone <br> $T$ | Angle of <br> Projection <br> in Degree | Distance <br> Travelled by <br> the Drone <br> during Time <br> of Hit (AC) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 20 | 0.5 | 900 | 1500 | 1300 | 2.18546 | 42.2869 | 44.9033 |
| 6 | 20 | 0.5 | 900 | 1500 | 1400 | 2.26173 | 44.4348 | 46.5135 |
| 7 | 20 | 0.5 | 900 | 1500 | 1500 | 2.34102 | 46.4437 | 48.1906 |

Source: Authors' own.


Figure 10 Variation of, T, AC with $h$ While the Helicopter is Moving Towards the Observer When $f$ and $d$ are Fixed Source: Authors' own.

Table 8 Values of the Angle of Projection, Time of Hit and Distance Covered by the Helicopter Moving Towards the Observer during the Time of Collision

When $f$ and $d$ are Fixed

| S. | Speed of <br> Helicopter <br> $m / s\left(v_{0}\right)$ | Acceleration/ <br> Retardation <br> $f m / s^{2}$ | Muzzle <br> Velocity of <br> Weapon <br> $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{su}$ | Horizontal <br> Distance in <br> Metre | Height of <br> Helicopter <br> b | Time <br> Taken to <br> Hit the <br> Helicopter <br> $T$ | Angle of <br> Projection <br> in Degree | Distance <br> Travelled <br> by the <br> Helicopter <br> during Time <br> of Hit (AC) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 70 | 2.5 | 900 | 1500 | 900 | 1.82932 | 33.8224 | 132.235 |
| 2 | 70 | 2.5 | 900 | 1500 | 1000 | 1.89011 | 36.738 | 136.773 |
| 3 | 70 | 2.5 | 900 | 1500 | 1100 | 1.95528 | 39.4752 | 141.648 |
| 4 | 70 | 2.5 | 900 | 1500 | 1200 | 2.02444 | 42.0399 | 146.834 |
| 5 | 70 | 2.5 | 900 | 1500 | 1300 | 2.09727 | 44.4394 | 152.307 |
| 6 | 70 | 2.5 | 900 | 1500 | 1400 | 2.17342 | 46.6824 | 158.044 |
| 7 | 70 | 2.5 | 900 | 1500 | 1500 | 2.2526 | 48.778 | 164.025 |

Source: Authors' own.

## Conclusion

Nowadays, slow moving objects such as drones pose an immense threat to the internal and external security of all countries. Therefore, we have tried to introduce a mathematical/scientific conjecture to weapon technocrats to develop an automatic weapon to shoot down slow moving objects. This also paves the way for any defence personnel to understand this concept.

Hypothetically, we can engineer such automatic mechanical weapons to shoot down flying objects if the firing weapon is augmented with sensors/detectors observing parameters such as the velocity, position and manoeuvring effect of the drone, and accordingly the up-down movement of the barrel of the weapon can be programmed with the master equations so developed. It is also concluded that even with the manoeuvring effect $-L / 2$ to $+L / 2$ where $L$ be the length of the drone/ helicopter in metres, the target will be shot down with the same angle of projection as calculated for no manoeuvring effect.
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## Notes

1. By flying objects, we mean Drones/helicopters/aircraft.
2. Amitabha Ghosh, Introduction to Dynamics, Singapore: Springer Singapore, 2018; Friedrich Pfeiffer and Thorsten Schindler, Introduction to Dynamics, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin, 2015; Neeraj Pant and A.N. Srivastava, Dynamics for Undergraduates, CBS Publisher and Distributors P Ltd, New Delhi, 2011; M. Ray and G.C. Sharma, A Textbook on Dynamics, S. Chand and Company Ltd., Noida (UP) 2006.
3. If $f$ is a retardation then we will consider Negative sign ( - ).
4. All figures and tabular values in this commentary are plotted/calculated using Microsoft Word and Mathematica software.
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